Effectiveness of Gait Interventions in Improving Gait in Adults with Ataxia:

A Systematic Review

Megan Fasano, SPT Meghan Goyden, SPT Lauren Bonitz, SPT Caroline Segota, SPT

Jennifer Schwartz, PT, DPT, Board-Certified Clinical Specialist in Neurologic Physical Therapy



## Overview

- Background
- Purpose
- Methods
- Search Criteria
- PRISMA
- Results

- Conclusion
- Limitations
- Future Research
- Clinical Relevance
- Acknowledgements



# Background

#### Ataxia:

- Lack of coordination characterized by progressive disturbances related to balance and gait
- Often caused by acquired brain injury (TBI, CVA or infection) or degenerative cerebellar changes<sup>1,2</sup>

#### Symptoms Of Ataxic Gait

- Lack of proper coordination
- Unsteady gait with a potential to stumble and fall
- Frequent falling episode
- Lack of muscle coordination in the legs
- Ambulation difficulties

Kerkar P. Symptoms of Ataxic Gait. https://www.epainassist.com/brain/ataxic-gait. Reviewed February 15<sup>th</sup>, 2018. Accessed October 5<sup>th</sup>, 2018.

## Background



- Traditionally, patients with ataxic gait have been treated using compensatory strategies such as ankle weights/weighted vests, or using assistive devices<sup>3</sup>
  - Although widely used in everyday practice, there is no significant research on the efficacy of these strategies<sup>4</sup>
- There has been no consensus on the best intervention to improve ataxic gait<sup>4</sup>



# A STANDARD SOLAR S

## Purpose

• The purpose of this study was to determine the most effective gait intervention in improving gait in patients with ataxia.



## Methods

#### Databases

- CINAHL
- Health Source: Nursing/Academic Edition
- MEDLINE/PubMed
- Proquest
- Hand search

#### **Search Limits**

- Last 10 years 2008-2018
- English
- Human subjects
- Scholarly (Peer-Reviewed) articles





## Search Terms



#### (ataxia) AND ("gait training" or "locomotion training" or "gait rehabilitation")



# CR. HINNEYLU

## **Selection Criteria**

- **Diagnosis:** ataxia
- Age: adult (18 or older)
- Gender: male or female
- **Outcomes:** objective gait measurements
  - Having an outcome measure with a gait component
- Intervention: any gait intervention
  - A gait rehabilitation strategy that directly involves ambulation or pre-ambulation
  - Balance training alone was not considered a gait intervention





Article Title				
A Comparative Study of Conventional Physiotherapy versus Robot-Assisted Gait Training Associated to Physiotherapy in Individuals with Ataxia after Stroke. <sup>5</sup>				
The effect of a task-specific locomotor training strategy on gait stability in patients with cerebellar disease: a feasibility study. <sup>6</sup>	4			
Partial Body Weight-Supported Treadmill Training in Spinocerebellar Ataxia. <sup>4</sup>	4			
Gait adaptability training improves obstacle avoidance and dynamic stability in patients with cerebellar degeneration. <sup>1</sup>				
Use of trunk stabilization and locomotor training in an adult with cerebellar ataxia: A single system design. <sup>3</sup>	5			
Challenge-oriented gait and balance training in sporadic olivopontocerebellar atrophy: a case study. <sup>7</sup>	5			
Delayed regaining of gait ability in a patient with brain injury: A case report. <sup>8</sup>	5			
Metronome Cueing of Walking Reduces Gait Variability after a Cerebellar Stroke. <sup>9</sup>	5			
Treadmill training for ataxic patients: A single-subject experimental design. <sup>2</sup>	5			

## Results<sup>1-9</sup>



- Samples Ranged: 1-19 participants (58 total)
- Intervention parameters: 1-60 sessions lasting 10-240 minutes
- **Duration of the interventions:** 1 day-20 weeks



#### Interventions included:

- Treadmill training<sup>1,2</sup>
- Partial body weight support<sup>3-5</sup>
- Dynamic gait training<sup>7</sup>
- Auditory cueing<sup>9</sup>
- Conventional gait training<sup>6,8</sup>



Lokomat®. Optimal Patient Challenge. https://www.hocoma.com/solutions/lokomat/. Accessed October 25, 2018.



#### All 9 studies found statistical and/or clinical improvements in gait outcomes such as:

- **Spatio-temporal gait parameters** (cadence, step length/width, gait speed, etc.)<sup>2,3,6,7,9</sup>
- **Complex gait** (Timed Up and Go test, Dynamic Gait Index)<sup>2,4,5,7</sup>
- Ataxia (Scale for Assessment and Rating of Ataxia)<sup>1,5,8</sup>
- Independence (Functional Ambulation Category)<sup>3,8</sup>
- **Gait quality** (Rivermead Visual Gait Assessment)<sup>2</sup>



Intervention	Sample Size	Intervention Parameters	Duration	Outcomes Improved	
Robot assisted gait training vs. therapist assisted gait training⁵	N=15	60 min 3x per week	5 months	Complex gait (TUG), Ataxia (SARA)	
Conventional gait training (with weight shifts, verbal cuing, etc.) <sup>6</sup>	N=19	1.5 hrs 2x per week	12 weeks	Spatio-temporal gait parameters (COM displacement, gait speed, step length/width, stance time)	
Partial Body Weight Support⁴	N=8	50 min 2x per week	18 weeks	Complex gait (DGI)	
Treadmill training (with visual cues) <sup>1</sup>	N=10	1 hrs 10 sessions	5 weeks	Ataxia (SARA)	
Conventional gait training (with trunk stabilization) <sup>3</sup>	N=1	60-90 min 28 sessions	22 weeks	Spatio-temporal gait parameters (10 MWT) , Independence (FAC)	

Intervention	Sample Size	Intervention Parameters	Duration	Outcomes Improved
Dynamic Gait (obstacle course, gait with head turns, stop and goes) <sup>7</sup>	N =1	1.5-2 hrs 5x per week	12 weeks	Complex gait (DGI), Spatio- temporal gait parameters (gait velocity)
Conventional gait training (trunk stabilization, physical conditioning) <sup>8</sup>	N=1	30 min 5x per week	2 months	Ataxia (SARA), Independence (FAC)
Auditory cueing (metronome) <sup>9</sup>	N=1	1 session non-specified	1 day	Spatio-temporal gait parameters (Step time, stance time, double support time, step length)
Treadmill training (with visual cues) <sup>2</sup>	N=2	30 min 3x per week	7 weeks	Spatio-temporal gait parameters (Step length, cadence, speed), Complex gait (TUG), Gait quality (RVGA)

## Conclusions



There is a mixed level of evidence to support task-specific gait interventions for patients with ataxia.

- High quality evidence:
  - Both over ground gait training with therapist assistance and robotic assisted gait training were found to be equally as effective in improving gait in adults with ataxia.
  - Evident by improved complex gait with reduced ataxia<sup>5</sup>



## Conclusions



There is a mixed level of evidence to support task-specific gait interventions for patients with ataxia.

- Low quality evidence:
  - Treadmill training (with and without obstacles), body weight support, auditory cueing, and dynamic gait training can improve ataxic gait as evident by improvements in:
    - Spatio-temporal parameters<sup>2,3,6,7,9</sup>
    - Complex gait<sup>2,4,5,7</sup>
    - Ataxia<sup>1,5,8</sup>

- Independence<sup>3,8</sup>
- Gait quality<sup>2</sup>

## Limitations



- Small samples
- Vague gait interventions
- Lack of uniform outcome measures
- Lack of control groups
- Long-term follow up



## **Future Research**



- In order to determine the optimal gait intervention for patients with ataxia, future research is needed to:
  - Develop specific ataxic gait outcome measures
  - Implement specific gait interventions for patients with ataxic gait
  - Include higher quality randomized control trials



## **Clinical Relevance**



- Historically, ataxic gait has been treated by weighting the patient's trunk and lower limbs and through symptom management.<sup>3</sup>
  - Recent research has shown that this is not the most effective rehabilitation for these patients.
- In order to move away from symptom management, clinicians should consider task-specific gait training to meet the individual needs of each patient with ataxia.

## Acknowledgements



- Dr. Jennifer Schwartz, PT, DPT, Board-Certified Clinical Specialist in Neurologic Physical Therapy
- Dr. Renee Hakim, PT, PhD, Board-Certified Clinical Specialist in Neurologic Physical Therapy
- Dr. John Sanko, PT, EdD
- Dr. Tracey Collins, PT, PhD, MBA, Board-Certified Clinical Specialist in Geriatric Physical Therapy
- Physical Therapy Department at the University of Scranton

### References



1. Fonteyn E, Heeren A, Engels J, Boer J, van de Warrenburg B, Weerdesteyn V. Gait adaptability training improves obstacle avoidance and dynamic stability in patients with cerebellar degeneration. *Gait Posture*. 2014;40(1):247-251. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2014.04.190.

2. Daniela V, Schettino R, Rolla C, Teixeira V, Cavalcanti Furtado S, de Mello Fiqueiredo E. Treadmill training for ataxic patients: a single-subject experimental design. *Clin Rehabil.* 2008;22(3):234-41. doi: 10.1177/0269215507081578.

3. Freund J, Stetts D. Use of trunk stabilization and locomotor training in an adult with cerebellar ataxia: a single system design. *Physiother Theory Pract.* 2010;26(7):447-458. doi:10.3109/0959398090353223.

4. Oliveira L, Martins C, Rodrigues E, et al. Partial body weight-supported treadmill training in spinocerebellar ataxia. *Rehabil Res Pract.* 2018;1-8. doi:10.1155/2018/7172686.

5. Belas dos Santos M, Barros de Oliveira C, dos Santos A, Garabello Pires C, Dylewski V, Arida R. A Comparative study of conventional physiotherapy versus robot-assisted gait training associated to physiotherapy in individuals with ataxia after stroke. *Behav Neuro*. 2018;1-6. doi:10.1155/2018/2892065.

### References



6. Im S, Kim Y, Kim K, Han J, Yoon S, Park J. The effect of a task-specific locomotor training strategy on gait stability in patients with cerebellar disease: a feasibility study. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2017;39(10):1002-1008. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2016.1177124.

7. Landers M, Adams M, Acosta K, Fox A. Challenge-oriented gait and balance training in sporadic olivopontocerebellar atrophy: a case study. *J Neurol Phys Ther*. 2009;33(3):160-168. doi:10.1097/NPT.0b013e3181b511f4.

8. Jang SH, Kwon HG. Delayed regaining of gait ability in a patient with brain injury: a case report. *Medicine*. 2016;95(38) 1-6. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000004898.

9. Wright RL, Bevins JW, Pratt D, Sackley CM, Wing AM. Metronome cueing of walking reduces gait variability after a cerebellar stroke. *Front Neurol*. 2016;7:84. 1-6. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2016.00084.



## Questions?

